

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/604,963 08/28/2003		/28/2003	Robert J. Allen	BUR920030092US1	1962		
28211	7590	11/30/2005		EXAMINER			
FREDERI			TAT, BINH C				
		L PROPERTY L	ART UNIT	DARCE NUMBER			
2568-A RΓ	VA KOAD		ARTUNII	PAPER NUMBER			
SUITE 304				2825			
ANNAPOL	IS, MD 21	401	DATE MAILED: 11/30/2005				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			10/604,963		ALLEN ET AL.		(gr)		
			Examiner		Art Unit	T			
			Binh C. Tat		2825				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appe	ears on the cover	sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE IN Insigns of time may be available under the provision: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com- operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum so are to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DA s of 37 CFR 1.130 munication. tatutory period wi y will, by statute,	TE OF THIS CO 6(a). In no event, howe ill apply and will expire so cause the application to	MMUNICATION ver, may a reply be tim SIX (6) MONTHS from become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this of this of this of the control of the co				
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on <i>28 Au</i>	iaust 2003						
2a)□	•		action is non-fina	al.					
3)	Since this application is in condition	•			secution as to the	e merits is			
-,	closed in accordance with the pract		•	· ·					
Disposit	ion of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the	application.							
,—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
· · · · · ·	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or	election requirer	nent.					
Applicat	ion Papers								
_	The specification is objected to by the	ne Evaminer							
•	The drawing(s) filed on 28 August 2			· h) Ohierted :	n hy the Examine	or .			
10/63	Applicant may not request that any obje		•	• - •	-	.			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including		• • •	•	• •	ER 1 121/d	1)		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected t	_	•			-	1).		
·	under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign	nriority under 25	 	-(d) or (f)				
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	i ioi ioieigii į	priority under 33	0.3.C. § 119(a)	-(u) Or (r).				
a)	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority	, documents	have been recei	ivad					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				on No				
	2. Certified copies of the priority				=	C4			
	3. Copies of the certified copies	•	•		o in this National	Stage			
* 0	application from the Internation		- ·		.i				
	See the attached detailed Office action	א וואנ מ וואנ נו	o are certifed CO	pies not receive	u.				
Attachmen	• •								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I	PTO-048\		Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or				atent Application (PT	O-152)			
	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>08/28/03</u> .	,	6) 🗌 (Other:					

1. This office action is in response to application 10/604963 file on 08/28/03.

Claims 1-27 remain pending in the application.

Claim Objections

Claim:

Claim 18, line 1, "claim 10" should be changed to --claim 15- in order to provide proper claimed dependency.

Abstract

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the abstract contains more than a single page with more than 150 words as equired. Correction is required. See MPEP j 608.01(b). Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure. The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details. The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes" etc.

Appropriate corrections are requested.

Art Unit: 2825

Double Patenting

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 1-27 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-27 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6941528. This is a double patenting rejection.

Conclusion

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Binh C. Tat whose telephone number is (571) 272-1908. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 - 4:00 (M-F).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mathew Smith can be reached on (571) 272-1907. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (571) 273-1908 for regular communications and (703) 305-3431 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

Paul Dinh

Binh Tat Art unit 2825 November 22, 2005